

CSC Project Status As of Jan 5, 2004

Description	Responsibility	Scope/Status	Target/Estimated Date
FY 2005 Initiatives			
1. <u>Trial Enhancement Project</u>	John Sansing/ Karen McBride	<p>Staff conducted the Trial Enhancement kick-off meeting with the Bureaus on December 2, 2004. Bureaus were asked to assess impact and provide analysis to the CSC by December 6, 2004. The scope of the Trial Project is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Changes to Trial Table to accommodate fund code enhancements, reporting data elements, interface transaction information, document attributes (table only), future use segments (database only) and “Unhook” project from fund and program; - Centralized Posting Logic; and - Research Posting Technique: analysis of Write-to-Trial, and assessment of Oracle 9i features and database changes. <p>FY 2005 Initiative Priority</p> <p>1. TRIAL TABLE & POSTING ROUTINE ENHANCEMENTS:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Priorities: NIST = 2; CENSUS = 1</p> <p>10. MULTIPLE FUNDING SOURCES ON A SINGLE PROJECT:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Priorities: CENSUS = 5</p>	<p>Estimated Dates</p> <p>Draft Functional Requirements Document 1/07/05</p> <p>Draft Detail Design Document 2/23/05</p> <p>Roll-out to the Bureaus 7/01/05</p>
2. <u>Budget Enhancements</u>	Karen McBride	<p>The final Functional Requirements Document (Version 1.2) was issued on January 6th and Bureau approval was requested by January 11th.</p> <p>The following enhancements are being planned for Phase I (FY05):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Record Category B apportionments by quarter using the same technique as are used with Category A. This permits the allotment and budget screens to reference a single apportionment pool rather than separate pools for each quarter. 2. Add pennies to amount fields in the Budget screens. Posting process must post to the penny in the Trial Table. 3. The methods used to calculate the total resources shown on the Budgetary Resources screen have a very complex calculation process. This enhancement is to change this process so that it simply adds the amounts entered on the screen that are related to resources and gives a total. This total will be used to control the apportionment process. The screen will include a pop-up display that shows the resources amounts by both the SF-133 Report on Budget Execution and SF-132 Request for Apportionment categories. 	<p>Final Functional Requirements Document (FRD) Approval 1/11/05</p> <p>Estimated date for delivery to the bureaus is June 1 – July 1, 2005</p>

CSC Project Status As of Jan 5, 2004

Description	Responsibility	Scope/Status	Target/Estimated Date
FY 2005 Initiative Priority			
5. BUDGET EXECUTION MODULE ENHANCEMENTS:			
Priorities: NOAA = 3; CENSUS = 2			
3. <u>RAU Project</u>	Jeff Martin	<p>A Bureau "kick-off" meeting is being planned for the week of January 24th.</p> <p>Proposed task evaluated a list of RAU issues developed by NIST. The proposed scope is as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Phase 1 - two items were considered maintenance type efforts and have been requested for the Maintenance cycle. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. AR 15745 - Pennies and unallocated amounts 2. AR 16457 - Block generation of bill to non-direct sales order 2. Phase 2 – The proposed scope will consist of 5 items as cited below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Enhance WIP process to post results when no bills are issued. 2. Modify cost allocation rules so that direct sales orders by project can be excluded. 3. Move costs between agreements (AR 16415) 4. Enhance to allow first in, first out allocation based on advance received. 5. System needs to release zero balance orders.(Census would also like us to consider modifying so that negative costs are returned to order) <p>FYI - The following items, per agreement with NIST have been closed/delivered from original list.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 16101- GL Period 2. New Object Class Designation on RADG001 3. Period of Performance end date 4. Process refunds directly from AR module. 5. Negative Direct Sales Orders (Delivered) 	<p>Estimated Dates:</p> <p>AR 15745 is being evaluated for the Feb Maintenance Delivery</p> <p>AR 16457 is being evaluated for the April Maintenance Delivery</p> <p>Phase II Draft Functional Requirement Document End of February</p> <p>Rough estimate of delivery date for Phase II is June 30, 2005.</p>
FY 2005 Initiative Priority			
4. REIMBURSABLE MODULE ENHANCEMENTS:			
Priorities: NIST = 1			

CSC Project Status As of Jan 5, 2004

Description	Responsibility	Scope/Status	Target/Estimated Date
<u>Initiatives Continuing from 2004</u>			
4. <u>CSTARS-to-CFS Interface</u>	Jerry Rorstrom-Lee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accenture is currently programming the ORSI (Obligation & Requisition Standard Interface) interface which includes the CSTARS, TIBCO, & CFS components of the interface. Accenture delivered the first batch of unit tested code [CFS, TIBCO, & CSTARS Comprizon.Buy (client/server)] to the CSC on 12/20/04. They began integration testing of these 3 interface components on 12/21/04. Accenture delivered the second batch of unit tested code [CSTARS Comprizon.Request (web)] to the CSC on 12/30/04 for integration testing. Integration testing is scheduled for completion on 1/21/05. Accenture delivered the final Web Integration DLD document (which addresses bureau comments on the draft) to the CSC for review on 11/8/04. This document covers the integration of the new CSTARS web requisition application with the existing CSTARS client-server procurement award application. The document currently contains 2 alternative design solutions for handling cross-servicing of requisitions between bureaus: (1) the original solution, from the draft document, that handles routing of cross-serviced requisitions via the TIBCO interface software & (2) a new proposed solution, which was not in the draft document, that allows requisitioners to log into multiple bureau CSTARS databases without going through TIBCO. On 11/29/04, the Government decided to retain the original TIBCO solution & to temporarily deploy the interface with multiple logons until several procurement data standardizations between bureaus can be implemented. However, Accenture developed the code using the proposed solution which does not include the use of TIBCO. On 12/21/04, Accenture prepared an LOE to incorporate the original solution which includes the use of TIBCO. The Government will meet with Accenture on 1/11/05 to discuss the issue & then determine how to proceed. We plan to submit the revised final Web Integration DLD document to the bureaus for acceptance in January 2005. We recently conducted initial deployment planning kick-off meetings with the bureaus. We submitted the draft CSTARS/ORSI Deployment Plan document to the bureaus on 12/22/04 for comment by 1/7/05. We will issue the final document to the bureaus for acceptance by 1/18/05. 	Delivery to Bureaus 2/15/05

CSC Project Status As of Jan 5, 2004

Description	Responsibility	Scope/Status	Target/Estimated Date
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accenture is preparing the draft CSTARS/ORSI Training Plan & associated training materials. They will be delivered to the CSC on 1/28/05. 	
5. <u>CPCS Test Environment Implemented at the CSC</u>	Sue Masser	<p>The following web site contains the CSTARS/ORSI Interface Deployment Monthly Newsletter http://205.159.118.129/ORSI/CSTARS.htm.</p> <p>This effort involves setting up a test CPCS database at the CSC so that CPCS programming and testing can be performed at the CSC to offset the reduction in Census resources. SSD Functional testers began preliminary testing and collection of system documentation. NIST has agreed to provide assistance.</p>	TBD
6. <u>CRS – Performance Metrics</u>	Tom Lambird	The Team continues to prototype data entry screens for performance reporting module and sent out the draft for user review. The programming is being completed by Census. The Office of Budget is defining the requirements. This effort is on hold, awaiting discussions between the Office of Budget and OMB to finalize requirements. The CSC is coordinating and documenting the requirements.	TBD – On Hold
7. <u>CRS – Priorities</u>	Tom Lambird	The Team is evaluating the changes needed for CRS. These changes are being prioritized.	TBD
8. <u>SF224 Enhancement (NIST MOU)</u>	Joe Burkot	Bureau acceptance of final detail-level design V1.4 has been received from Census, EDA and NIST. NOAA indicated they will not accept the design because it did not include NOAA requirements for labor data. These labor data requirements were not included in the SF224 Enhancement requirements document. Staff met with NOAA and programmers to clarify requirements for the SF 224 report to address NOAA concerns. NIST with support from other Bureaus and oversight from CSC QA Section, will conduct the acceptance testing at the CSC.	Deliver to Bureaus 3/2/2005
9. <u>Standard Maintenance</u>	Amy Sommerville	Staff sent delivered the December Maintenance on December 15, 2004. This release included 15 CFS ARs, 1 DW AR, and 16 CPCS ARs. This delivery was impacted as some CFS code was locked due to the CCR and CSTARS efforts and thus could not be included in this delivery.	Delivered to Bureaus 12/15/04
		Staff is working on the February Maintenance Delivery. Data will be provided as soon as it can be confirmed as to the ARs being planned for this delivery. Procedural changes are also being discussed to improve the communication and quality assurance of this effort.	TBD
10. <u>System Architecture – GUI Standards</u>		<p>The CSC evaluated and approved the approach of making the new GUI standard changes with Level 2 Maintenance AR's. The idea is to bring all of CFS into compliance with the standards recently developed for high volume transaction screens.</p> <p>As we communicated doing this will require additional programming time for level 2 AR's</p>	Proposal to incorporate with Standard Maintenance Delivery was

CSC Project Status As of Jan 5, 2004

Description	Responsibility	Scope/Status	Target/Estimated Date
		beginning with AR's for February 2005 maintenance release. Estimate implementing time: Simple screen: 6 hours Average screen: 12 hours Complicated transaction screen: 20 hours	approved.
11. <u>Prior Year Adjustments Phase II</u>		<p>All of the bureaus (NOAA, NIST, EDA, and Census) agreed in the communication/scheduling meeting on 12/08/04 that they would prefer that the GUI standards are incorporated when the programmers are making the level 2 fixes. They commented that the administrative/testing savings would offset some of the additional programming time and agreed that this would be more efficient.</p> <p>The primary functionality of this next phase of the Prior Year enhancement is: (1) When final payments are accomplished (i.e., on PM005) we will turn Prior Year Adjustments to Undelivered Orders from "unpaid" status to "paid" status. (2) Conversely, when vendor payments are voided (i.e., on PM041 or PM042) we will turn Prior Year Adjustments to Undelivered Orders from "paid" status to "unpaid" status. (3) We will modify the "midstream" transactions (i.e., any screen that accrues expenditures, such as PM030, PM034, PM050, PM054, PM003, PM020, PM044 and associated packages) so that they do not interfere with the proper postings described above in (1) and (2).</p>	<p>Estimated Dates: FRD 4/20/02 Acceptance Testing 6/30/05 Delivery to Bureaus 7/01/05</p>
12. <u>E-Travel Interface</u>	Karen McBride	Contract for the E-Travel was awarded to Electronic Data System (EDS). Effort is to be completed this FY. Meetings are on-going. Scope of effort for the CSC is being determined	TBD
13. <u>AP Disbursement Report</u>	Karen McBride	The CFS Accounts Payment (AP) module will be modified to support the generation of Disbursements Reports by Category that will be used for financial statement reporting and as an additional aid for the manual creation of erroneous payments reports. The Disbursements Reports by Category will be used to calculate the disbursements reported by the bureaus in CFS and generate a series of reports which may be used to validate the Report on Budget Execution and Budgetary Resources disbursements balance. The reports will also categorize the disbursements into the following types: Grants, Salaries, Vendor Payments and Intra-Governmental Payment and Collection (IPAC) Payments. Additional reports will provide data by general ledger account; bureau, Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol (TAFS) and fund code; and allow selection of data by fiscal month, quarter, cumulative-to-date and total. The reports will not provide detailed transaction data.	TBD
CSC Working Groups – Business Case Analysis			
14. <u>Web</u>	Lillian Yeh	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For data collection of the Web Migration Business Case study, staff received the IT 	Feasibility Study

CSC Project Status As of Jan 5, 2004

Description	Responsibility	Scope/Status	Target/Estimated Date
<u>Migration Business Case</u>		<p>infrastructure information from NOAA, Census, and NIST in responding to technical questionnaire. The CSC Capital Asset Plan document will be used as the baseline source for cost/benefit modeling. Staff continued developing the document and plan for discussion in the next Working Group meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff attended Hackett presentation and reviewed business questionnaire for the Finance Business Case study. • CSC received the Metis software and installed one copy each of the Designer and ITM Template. The Client Access Library will also be installed and setup for accessing the prototype Metis Team Server implemented at the Department CIO office. Staff completed the Introduction, Intermediate, and Advanced Metis training courses. The tool will be used for developing the CBS Enterprise IT Architecture focus on supporting the Web Enabling Business Case study task. 	3/15/05
15. <u>Testing – Quality Assurance Working Group</u>	Sue Masser	<p>This group is responsible for planning and organizing the acceptance testing of all software delivered by the OFM-CSC. The group will coordinate testing activities, testing schedules and testing resources. We are looking to use this as a venue for developing and sharing test scripts. This group will meet monthly, but may meet more frequently if needed.</p> <p>The Kick-off meeting was held on Nov 17, 2004. Representatives from each Bureau attended the meeting. The major topics were: the testing process, the new regression testing tool (Mercury Quick Test), the maintenance release testing, testing for scheduled projects, and level 1 testing. The minutes are posted on the CBS Web page under CAMS News.</p>	Monthly Meetings
16. <u>CFS Data Warehouse Working Group</u>	Tom Lambird	<p>The DW Team developed a set of subject area interview questions and is reviewing them prior to sending this information request to Bureau representatives. This data will be used to benchmark the current DW applications and to develop a strategy for the future.</p>	Monthly Meetings
17. <u>User Communication/Scheduling Working Group:</u>	Patricia Jackson	<p>Staff held the CBS Bureau Communications/Scheduling Kick-Off Meeting on November 9th. The second meeting was held on December 8. The major topics included the status of projects and ARs, the potential impact of processing ARs after CCR is delivered and before it is placed in production as the change might need to be made in 2 versions of the code, promoting code to production and the concept of defining the contractual rework period, the December maintenance release, and the approach for incorporating the GUI standards while working on level 2 ARs.</p> <p>The next meeting is scheduled for Jan 12, 2005. Each Bureau has been asked to provide their</p>	Monthly meetings and on-going communication

CSC Project Status As of Jan 5, 2004

Description	Responsibility	Scope/Status	Target/Estimated Date
		implementation plan for 2005 based on the planned CSC code deliveries defined in the Draft CBS Master Scheduling Plan.	
		The minutes are posted on the CBS Web page under <u>CAMS News</u> .	
18. <u>Mass Changes Analysis Working Group</u>	John Sansing	This working group will assess the need and requirements for making mass changes to the financial data in the CBS in order to respond to re-organizations and financial transaction re-alignment resulting from Continuing Resolutions. The deliverable will be both a strategy for making changes to the CFS. This strategy will then become the basis for one or more CBS Enhancement project.	Kick-off meeting Jan 12, 2005.
19. <u>Finance Business Case</u>	Paula Copeland	The kick-off meeting was rescheduled for January 12, 2005, for this Group. The Hackett Company conducted training on the Finance and Finance ROI (systems tool) on January 6, 2005. NOAA, NIST and Census will complete the survey tools that will be used to gather metric and benchmark data. The Hackett Group, a subsidiary of Answerthink, is considered one of the world's foremost best practices benchmarking firms with a comprehensive database that includes more than 2400 companies that have participated in Hackett studies over the past twelve years. Metrics are a critical component within the Finance Business Case and OFM will be working closely with the Bureaus to ensure that data is collected consistently throughout Commerce. We are asking that the metric data be submitted to OFM by January 25, 2005.	Metric Data due to OFM 1/25/05
20. <u>Mass Changes Analysis Working Group</u>	John Sansing	This working group will assess the need and requirements for making mass changes to the financial data in the CBS in order to respond to re-organizations and financial transaction re-alignment resulting from Continuing Resolutions. The deliverable will be both a strategy for making changes to the CFS. This strategy will then become the basis for one or more CBS Enhancement project. The kick-off meeting was rescheduled for January 12, 2005, for this Group.	Kick-off meeting Jan 12, 2005.